wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Jose Manuel Cardona-Vasquez	Case Number:	11-6285M	
and was re	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), epresented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderal endant pending trial in this case.			
		INGS OF FACT		
I find by a	preponderance of the evidence that:			
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged of	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant bu substantial family ties to Mexico.	ut has no substantial	ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of the defendant using nu	ımerous aliases.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enfo	orcement contact by fl	eeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	ears imprisonment.	
Th at the time	e Court incorporates by reference the material fine of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in CONCL	dings of the Pretrial Se the record. LUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant w No condition or combination of conditions v	vill flee.	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a correction appeal. The of the United	ne defendant is committed to the custody of the At ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, from the defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opposed States or on request of an attorney for the Gove to the United States Marshal for the purpose of a	ttorney General or his, persons awaiting or sortunity for private cons ernment, the person in	her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT deliver a co Court.	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this deter- opy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pre	ition order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to	
IT Services s	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a thir sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the Ee the potential third party custodian.	rd party is to be consid District Court to allow	lered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATED this 15 th day of June, 2011.				
	\$			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge